SPRING MEETING: APRIL 17, 1982
COLLEGE OF THE HOLY CROSS
WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS

The College of the Holy Cross will host
the Spring Meeting on April 17 at the
Hogan Campus Center. The program is print-
ed on page three. Pre-registration and
luncheon reservation forms have been mailed
to the membership. Vice President John
Voll has served as the program chairman.
Professor Edward F. Wall is in charge of
local arrangements. The Department of
History at Holy Cross is sponsoring a
Wine Reception at the Spring Meeting.
The Executive and Nominating Committees
will meet on Friday evening, April 16, at
the Hogan Campus Center. Dinner is served
at 6:30 p.m., followed by the meeting.

FUTURE NEHA MEETINGS

October 23, 1982
New England Center
University of New Hampshire

April 16, 1983
Fitchburg State College
Fitchburg, Massachusetts

DUES NOTICE

Members are reminded to pay dues for 1982.
The annual dues for regular members is $4.00;
$2.00 for retirees and students. Dues may
be paid along with the pre-registration forms,
at the Registration Desk during the Spring
Meeting, or mailed in separately. Make
checks payable to NEHA and mail to the Execu-
tive Office at Rhode Island College.

CALL FOR PAPERS

Proposals for papers and sessions in all
areas of history for the Fall 1982 and
Spring 1983 meetings should be sent to
the Vice President and Program Chair:
Professor Emiliana Noether/Department of
History/University of Connecticut/Storrs,
Connecticut 06268.

NOMINATIONS

Election of officers for 1983-1984 will
take place at the Fall Meeting on October
23. Accordingly, the Nominations Com-
mittee invites suggestions from NEHA mem-
ers for the following vacancies: Vice
President; Treasurer; Executive Committee
(3 members); and Nominating Committee (2
members). Names of prospective candidates,
accompanied by a resume or brief profes-
sional summary, should be sent to the Execu-
tive Secretary or to Douglas Sweet, the
committee chairman, at U.S. Government
Equal Opportunities Office/ 150 Causeway
Street/ Boston, Massachusetts.

DISTINGUISHED LECTURE FEATURE

This issue of NEHA NEWS contains a sum-
mmary by David Underdown of Christopher
Hill's lecture delivered at Brown Univer-
sity last October. The editor hopes to
include reports of this type as a regular
feature of the newsletter. Anyone wish-
ing to submit a summary or commentary on
a distinguished lecture delivered at any
New England institution should communicate
with the editor. Articles should approxi-
mate 500-600 words in length.
ASSOCIATION OFFICERS

OFFICERS FOR 1982-83

President: John Voll, University of New Hampshire
Vice President: Emiliana Neeher, University of Connecticut
Executive Secretary: Kenneth F. Lewalski, Rhode Island College
Secretary: Paul Fideley, Lesley College (May 1984)
Treasurer: Joshua Stein, Roger Williams College (May 1983)

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Roger Howell, Jr., Bowdoin College (May 1983)
Charles A. Watson, Roger Williams College (May 1983)
Fred Casel, University of Connecticut (May 1983)
Joseph Harrington, Framingham State College (May 1984)
Robert J. Imboli, Albertus Magnus College (May 1984)
Darrett Rutman, University of New Hampshire (May 1984)

The University of New Hampshire

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Helen Mulvey, Connecticut College (May 1983)
Deborah Clifford, Middlebury Vermont (May 1983)
Barbara Solow, Boston University (May 1984)
Douglas Sweet, U.S. Equal Opportunities Commission (May 1984), Chairman
Gwendolyn Jensen, University of New Haven (May 1985)
Caroline Sloat, Sturbridge Village (May 1985)

APRIL 17, 1982

SPRING PROGRAM

COLLEGE OF THE HOLY CROSS

WORCESTER, MASS.

MORNING SESSIONS

Session I. Anti-slavery and Institutions in the Civil War Era:
The Church and the Political Party
1. "The Church and Slavery: The Role of Religious Institutions in the Civil War-Time Emancipation Drive"
   John R. McKivigan, Frederick Douglass Papers
2. "Broadening the Basis of Republicanism, 1857-1860: The New York Example"
   Robert J. Imboli, Albertus Magnus College
   Commentator: Jane Pease, University of Maine, Orono
   Ronald Formisano, Clark University
   Chairman: Robert Imboli, Albertus Magnus College

Session II. Near East Overview: Critical Modern Issues
1. "The Mandates: Before and After"
   Adele L. Vousis, Salem State College
2. "The Islamic Dilemma"
   Joseph M. Piemonte, Salem State College
   Chairman and Commentator: James T. Doyle, Salem State College

Session III. Commercialism and the Frontier in the Upper South: 1635 – 1835
1. "Debt and Credit on the 17th Century Virginia Frontier"
   Keven P. Kelly, Colonial Williamsburg
2. "Town, County, and Colony: The Commercial Influence in 18th Century Virginia Politics"
   Peter V. Bergstrom, Colonial Williamsburg
3. "Land and Opportunity in the Late 18th Century Southern Backcountry"
   James F. Whittenburg, College of William and Mary
   Chairman and Commentator: Richard Beeman, University of Pennsylvania

WINE RECEPTION AND LUNCHEON

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

"ENTHUSIASM REVISTITED AND FOUND WANTING!"
Darrett Rutman, University of New Hampshire
President, New England Historical Association

AFTERNOON SESSIONS

Session IV. From 'True Women' to 'New Women': New England Women's College Founders and Their Ideals of Womanhood
1. "Incipit Vita Nova: The New Life Begins — The Founding Ideals of Wellesley College"
   Patricia Palmieri, Dartmouth College
2. "Christian Servants of Society": Towards an Understanding of Mary Lyon and Mount Holyoke Seminary"
   Tiziana Rota, University of Massachusetts
   Chairman and Commentator: Penina Glazer, Hampshire College

Session V. Italy from Liberalism to Fascism, 1890-1925
1. "Pareto and Liberal Italy"
   Armand Patrucco, Rhode Island College
2. "Fascism and Labor Culture: The Experience of an Italian Town, 1918-1922"
   Donald H. Bell, Harvard Center for European Studies
3. "Reformist Ideas in the Italian Left, from the Giscottiana Era to the Fascist Dictatorship"
   Spencer di Scala, University of Massachusetts, Boston
   Chairman: Alan J. Reimer, Boston College
   Commentator: Richard Drake, Princeton University
CHRISTOPHER HILL
LECTURE:
"THE POOR AND THE PEOPLE IN
SEVENTEENTH CENTURY ENGLAND"

Christopher Hill, the eminent British historian, delivered the second William F. Church Memorial Lecture at Brown University, on October 24, 1981. This annual lecture was established in 1980 to honor the memory of the late Professor Church, for many years until his death in 1977 a member of the Brown faculty, and a distinguished historian of early modern France. Its intention is to bring to Brown each year an authority on that period of European history, broadly defined, and no more appropriate choice of lecturer can be imagined than Christopher Hill.

Dr. Hill's topic enabled him to carry further some lines of inquiry which he has pursued in his many outstanding books and articles. He provided a stimulating, richly-textured discussion of the paradoxes and problems inherent in the common usage of the term "the people" in seventeenth-century English political discourse. The architects of the revolution of the 1640s made frequent appeals to the sovereignty of the people - in justifying the execution of Charles I and the establishment of the Commonwealth, for example - but for them "the people" meant something very different from its modern meaning, the term being restricted rather than all-inclusive. The political assumption was that "the people" were the propertied, economically-independent heads of households: women, servants, paupers, and all those who were in other ways economically dependent were by definition excluded. Even the more democratic Levellers who agitated for a broader franchise were ambiguous on this point, while official spokesmen for the Commonwealth like Monck and Lenthall had no hesitation about equating a thoroughly unrepresentative Parliament with "the people." By way of Harrington, Sidney, and Locke, the conception of a virtuous, sturdy independent yeoman class as the central element in "the people" passed virtually unquestioned into eighteenth-century Whig political currency.

This antithesis between "the people" and the rest of society (the much more numerous poor and dependent lower orders) was buttressed, Dr. Hill showed, by orthodox Puritan teaching. The elect, to whom church government was to be confined, could be generally equated with the propertied; only the Baptists and more radical sects allowed an equal voice to all church members, and even then rarely to women. But the distinction also arose inevitably out of the very nature of seventeenth-century society: out of a society in which the patriarchal household was assumed to be the natural, divinely-ordained foundation of ordered existence. In the century or so between the Civil War and the Commonwealth, economic and demographic changes had set in motion a process of social differentiation. A middling class of yeomen profiting from their ability to produce a surplus for the market during a time of rising prices now confronted a much larger, unstable population of "permanent poor". Puritanism provided the local village oligarchies (composed largely of the former element) with a convenient justification for seeing the poor as idle and wicked people, who had to be coerced into accepting labor discipline and moral responsibility - and who could not, of course, be allowed to share in political rights or be regarded as part of "the people."
HOW TO STOP A REVOLUTION: MASSACHUSETTS AFTER THE WAR FOR AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE

Robert Gilmore (University of New Hampshire) presented a historiographic review of comparative studies of revolution beginning with Robert R. Palmer's *Age of the Democratic Revolution* and Crane Brinton's *Anatomy of Revolution*, and going on to discuss the works of several sociologists such as Barrington Moore, Harry Eckstein, and Charles C. Johnson. He concluded by noting the conflicting perspectives of historians such as Richard D. Brown and Kenneth A. Lockridge in the last decade. Overall, Gilmore revealed some of the connections among comparative studies and the utility of the approach in light of the continuing phenomenon of "democratic" revolution.

Stephen E. Patterson (University of New Brunswick) offered a new perspective on the limitations of the Shaysite reform movement, arguing that because Shaysites were chiefly residents of small, quasi-communal hill towns, they were unable to organize effectively at the statewide level. In contrast to their opponents, Patterson said, Shaysites shared a localistic outlook that prevented them from organizing effectively beyond the level of town and county. Inhabitants of commercially-oriented towns, he said, were better equipped by outlook and experience to organize on a horizontal, state-wide basis.

James Leamon (Bates College) charted the rise and fall of the first separation movement in Massachusetts' eastern district, Maine. Beginning with an analysis of Maine's Revolutionary War experiences, which generated some hostility to Boston, Leamon went on to explain that the mid-1780s were brighter, and that the separatists were confined to a small group of elite politicians in the Portland-Gorham area who found some support among inland squatters. Separation sentiment was never widespread, and it became increasingly isolated in the late 1780s and early 1790s owing to the General Court's responsiveness to Maine's needs, and to the hopes for preferment harbored by many Maine leaders.

Richard D. Brown (University of Connecticut) expressed appreciation for Gilmore's historiographic review, and noted how arresting and stimulating Brinton's *Anatomy* remains. He went on to challenge the degree of emphasis that Patterson gave to cultural factors in explaining the weakness of the Shaysites. The same kinds of people had used the same forms of protest successfully in 1774-76, Brown argued. The key difference was that in the earlier period Massachusetts had been virtually united from the grassroots up through the General Court. The failure of the Shaysites was less due to cultural factors than to the fact that they were a minority who took up arms against the majority. Brown commented that, as Leamon had shown, the lack of grassroots strength was precisely what doomed the early separatist efforts in Maine. In Massachusetts the revolution came to an end, he said, both with the political elite and the people in general to accept the status quo. Shays' defeat led to a reinforced commitment to Massachusetts' Constitution of 1780.

Richard D. Brown
University of Connecticut

Von Laue asserted that the proper historical framework for understanding the 20th century was the "world revolution of westernization." That revolution had provoked in turn a world-wide counter-revolution, into which belonged communism, fascism, and the various forms of "development." In all cases that counter-revolution represented a hybrid mix of western and non-western elements, unstable, experimental, and inconclusive. Hitler should be viewed in the contexts of that counter-revolution and his Germany as the western-most example of a cultural fracture zone caught between incompatible cultural impulses.

Expressionism was a typical product of cultural fracture zones. The dissonance between the external routines of life imposed by western modernity and the internal realms of feeling and value was relieved by the "expressionist shriek" in art as, through Hitler's speeches, in politics. The tensions in Hitler's "soul" were the product of his upbringing and his times. Barely one generation removed from peasant life he was confronted with advanced modernity and the huge vistas of world politics. His explosive disorientation was shared by other simple folk politicized by unprecedented social change and the first world war; in releasing his own extreme inward tensions Hitler released similar tensions among his listeners. From the collective paranoia of patriotism which he shared with the expressionist political artist, hoped to forge a national mobilisation for conquering the living space necessary to make Germany a world power forever. Hitler, however, could not escape the inherent flaws of joining incompatible cultures; his primitive and rigid improvisations allowed him no effective command of modern reality. His persistent self-doubt culminated in his suicide; as a western anti-western experiment national socialism was a failure.

In conclusion Von Laue suggested that the moral responsibility for Hitler's atrocities lay also with the West. It had set the scale of world power that inspired Hitler and furnished some of his seminal ideas. Transferred to a cultural fracture zone these inspirations took a monstrous form.

In his comment Waite said that he strongly disagreed with Von Laue. He would not accept the association of expressionist art with Hitler's brutality. The artists' sensibilities and aims were diametrically opposed to Hitler's, who in turn despised them as degenerate. Waite also stressed that more attention should be paid to the psycho-pathology of Hitler's personality; the external factors in his life only told half the story. As a sociologist Buschmeyer took a more positive view, saying that while Hitler and the expressionist artists differed in their political convictions, they shared a common need to express the tensions created by the impact of modern life upon unprepared souls. He could not agree, however, with the suggestion that the West shared a moral responsibility for Hitler. The connection was too abstract; no concrete causal relationship could be proved.

In response Von Laue asked how the boundaries between individual and collective psychopathology might be determined; perhaps Hitler's peculiarities were shared by many other Germans. As for moral responsibility, he urged that in the age of global interdependence moral sensibility be likewise stretched beyond traditional boundaries.

Theodore Von Laue
Clark University

HITLER AS A COUNTER REVOLUTIONARY EXPRESSIONIST

This session offered a presentation by Theodore Von Laue of Clark University on the above title. The commentators were Robert G. Waite of Williams College and Dietrich Buschmeyer of Brown University.
PLENARY SESSION ADDRESS: "THE STUDY OF AMERICAN HISTORY IN CHINA"
By Dr. Chi Wang, Head, Chinese & Korean Section, Asian Division, Library of Congress.

Dr. Chi Wang began his address by describing his current work on the subject of Chinese knowledge of the United States. He has had the opportunity recently, as a part of a project for the U.S. International Communication Agency (ICA) to visit the major institutes and universities in China with American studies programs. He has also surveyed Chinese publications dealing with the United States.

Chinese studies of American history go back to the early twentieth century. Chinese scholars who had studied in the United States were the backbone of the study of American history, but most of these scholars were purged or expelled after 1948-1949. In recent years there has been an active renewal of American studies in China but the resources are limited. Library collections are out of date and experienced scholars are few in number.

Despite these limitations, there are important Chinese publications on U.S. history that are appearing. Works of scholars like Dr. Huang Shaowang of the Chinese Academy of the Social Sciences, who studied at Columbia University, are important. Another scholar with experience in the United States is Professor Yang Shengjie, who studied at the University of California, Berkeley, and who has just completed a documentary history of the American Civil War. Some of the difficulties of doing research on the United States are shown in the experience of Professor Liu Zuochang of the Shandong Teachers College. He has never been to the United States and has limited library resources. He must rely on interlibrary loan from the distant Beijing library and it may take six months to get a book. However, he has written a long book on the American Civil War and is currently working on a book about the American Revolution.

In research and teaching scholars need to follow the guidance of the party in terms of interpretations. As party policy toward the United States has changed, so has the tone of Chinese writings about American history. In the early 1950s, the writings were strongly anti-American but since the normalization of relations in the 1970s, works are less propagandistic and more objective.

In this situation, Chinese scholars dealing with American history have limited resources but are making progress. Dr. Chi Wang stressed that this progress toward a better understanding of American history is greatly aided by scholarly exchanges and he urged American historians to provide whatever help they can.

John Voll
University of New Hampshire

ORGANIZING THE HISTORY PROFESSION AT THE STATE LEVEL

The first paper, "The National Coordinating Committee for the Promotion of History as a Clearing House for the Work of State Committees," was presented by Page Putnam Miller, the NCC Project Director. She focused on five inter-related aspects of this question: Why are state committees important? Five key reasons for valuing and supporting the work of state committees are: 1) Historians need an orientation towards dealing with some of the problems facing the profession; they have written a long book on the American Civil War and is currently working on a book about the American Revolution.

In research and teaching scholars need to follow the guidance of the party in terms of interpretations. As party policy toward the United States has changed, so has the tone of Chinese writings about American history. In the early 1950s, the writings were strongly anti-American but since the normalization of relations in the 1970s, works are less propagandistic and more objective.

In this situation, Chinese scholars dealing with American history have limited resources but are making progress. Dr. Chi Wang stressed that this progress toward a better understanding of American history is greatly aided by scholarly exchanges and he urged American historians to provide whatever help they can.

John Voll
University of New Hampshire

NEW ENGLAND TOWNS AND CITIES IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY

In the twenty years since Eric Lampard issued his now classic call for a coherent discussion of urban history much new ground has been broken. Although a wholly satisfactory urban history is still wanting, the varied methods which now dominate the field—those of demography, statistics, sociology, and geography, for example—make of it a major branch of, broadly termed, the new social history.

The two papers in the session on "New England Towns and Cities in the Nineteenth Century," William L. Phile's "Clarity of Focus: James Hillhouse and the Urban Form—New Haven, 1763-1832" and Burton C. Brown's "The Boston Subway: A Late Nineteenth Century Attack on the Emerging Mass Transit Problem," did not in fact address either the issue of urban theory or of method. Nor did they, as commentator Paul Tedesco pointed out, have much in common "save for the internal concept of planning. Nonetheless, each in its own way demonstrated the possibilities of applying social history techniques the better to understand specific urban phenomena and general urban problems.

Page Putnam Miller
National Coordinating Committee
Executive Secretary Kenneth Lewalski read his report on the state of the Association's treasury. He reported that NEHA is solvent and asked all members to send in their 1981 dues so that the organization will remain financially sound. Lewalski called on NEHA members to recruit new members and emphasized that the Association is for all historians in New England, not just those specializing in New England history. He invited suggestions for future programs, hosts for meetings, and names of potential officers.

Alice McGinty reported for the Nominating Committee. She thanked the nominees for their willingness to serve. After the candidates were introduced, the election took place. Those elected were: Emiliana Noether, Vice-President; Paul Fideler, Secretary; Joseph Harrington and Robert Inholt, Executive Committee; Gwendolyn Jensen and Caroline Sloat, Nominating Committee.

Voll informed members of the Spring meeting on April 17 at the College of the Holy Cross and asked for suggestions for panels for this and future programs.

J. Leopold reminded NEHA members of National History Day and encouraged their participation and involvement.

The meeting adjourned at 2 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan J. Liebowitz, Secretary

AFFILIATION MINUTES

BUSINESS MEETING: October 24, 1981. ALBERTUS MAGNUS COLLEGE, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

Vice President John Voll convened the meeting after lunch in the absence of President Darrett Rutman. He thanked Robert Inholt for making the local arrangements and Albertus Magnus College for its hospitality. The minutes of the Spring 1981 meeting were read and approved.
Lewalski asked whether the Association should provide free lunches for speakers at its meetings. Liebowitz replied that the Executive Committee had decided not to do so except in special cases. McGinty thought that the opportunity to give papers is advantage enough. Voll reminded participants to pay for lunch with their registration.

Lewalski will send out a dues collection letter in the spring. He would like a "President's Page" in the NEHA News to give the President more visibility. One function of the page could be to publish the presidential address. He would also like to publish summaries of all talks given at NEHA meetings. Rutman suggested including experiments in history teaching in the News.

Lewalski would like to see NEHA awards established. Money could be set aside for these purposes and the money could be for the best panel or paper at a meeting, provided the paper was available for circulation in advance. Noether proposed a committee to develop suggestions. Rutman wished to have previous Association presidents on such a committee. Voll moved that the President be empowered to appoint a committee to discuss this proposal. The motion passed, and Rutman asked Cazel to chair a committee composed of past presidents.

REVIEW OF THE NOMINATION PROCESS. Lewalski thought that many good people have been lost to NEHA when they were nominated for office in the Association but lost in an election. He noted that suggestions have been made for the Executive Committee to nominate officers and that some proposed only nominating one person for each office. A vigorous discussion of the topic ensued. Prelinger thought it was wasteful of serious candidates because they might lose interest in the organization. The president presented difficulties for the Nominating Committee. She also felt that there should be a review of the practice of voting at the Association meetings. Noether proposed a mail ballot. Voll argued that some candidates do not lose interest if they are defeated. He noted that most professional associations are moving away from single slate laborations because that tends to make any opposition an insurgency. Others thought that because of the size of NEHA, insurgencies would not be a problem. Sweet moved to direct the Nominating Committee to nominate a single slate for Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer and to hold contested elections for the Executive Committee and the Nominating Committee. The motion passed.

Rutman then raised the issue of a mail ballot. Cazel suggested that the Nominating Committee report at the Fall meeting, so that floor nominations could be made, and then mail out a ballot. Sweet thought that this procedure would require a change in the Association's Constitution. Noether moved that the Executive Committee request the Executive Secretary to investigate the feasibility of a mail ballot and prepare a constitutional amendment to this effect if it is feasible. The motion passed. McGinty moved that in the future, the Nominating Committee meet with the Executive Committee. This motion passed.

NO BUSINESS. Rutman returned to the matter of student memberships. Harrington thought that junior and senior history majors would be eager to join the Association. He moved that undergraduates, by virtue of registering at a NEHA conference, become members of the Association for one year. Noether suggested trying. Rutman liked the idea but wanted the Executive Secretary to explore it further. Watson wanted to include all students. On a motion by Sweet, the Committee voted to table the motion of Harrington until the next meeting.

Rutman announced that the Committee's next meeting would take place on the evening before the Spring meeting of the Association (April 16). Little interest was expressed in Liebowitz's idea of reimbursing Executive Committee members for the expense of attending meetings, so the matter was dropped. The meeting adjourned at 3 p.m.

The sixth annual conference of The New England Slavic Association will be held at Boston College on April 30 and May 1. The Society for Romanian Studies will hold its national meeting at Boston College the same weekend with a special celebration honoring the centennial of the noted composer Geoghe Enescu. For further information contact H.S. Bezchotes, Framingham State College, Framingham, MA 01701.

"The Arts and Industrialism" has been selected as the theme of the third annual Lowell Conference on Industrial History at Lowell University on April 30-May 1. For additional information write Robert Weible, Lowell National Historical Park, 171 Merrimack Street, Lowell, MA 01852.

The Seneca Falls Women's History Conference will be held July 16-18 at Eisenhower College. The program will focus on interpreting the roles and contributions of women to New York State community history. For details write Seneca Falls Consortium, The National Women's Hall of Fame, Box 335, Seneca Falls, NY 13148.

The largest and most diverse German and West European labor movement collection has recently been opened to the public. The Institute for German and Foreign Labor in Dortmund, Westphalia houses 30,000 books, brochures and periodicals from 1830 to the present. The collection contains 4,000 drawings, posters, manuscripts, audio tapes and recordings pertaining to working class history.

The University of Massachusetts/Boston graduate program in History and Archival Methods is beginning its fourth year under the directorship of R. Michelle Olberg. The program requires students to gain practical experience in the arrangement, appraisal and survey of records while learning the principles of archival theory and history. Students are also required to serve as interns in local repositories.

The History Department and Labor Studies Program at Rhode Island College will sponsor an all-day labor conference on April 22. Morning workshops cover topics of general and specialized interest: Working Women; Labor Culture; Problems Facing Labor Organizations; Labor and the Media; The Issue of Plant Closings; and Worker Participation. Reese Hammond, Director of Education and Training of the International Union of Operating Engineers (Washington, D.C.) is the luncheon speaker. The Annual History Department Symposium will be held in the afternoon. The theme for the symposium is "Women and Men in Labor: Collective Action in History." Participants include Susan Porter Benson (Bristol Community College), Jolyon Howorth (Harvard University), David Montgomery (Tate University) and Sharon Strom (University of Rhode Island). Peter Picillo will serve as moderator. For further information write Norman Pyle, Department of History, Rhode Island College, Providence, R.I. 02908.

The New England Archivists will hold its annual meeting at Mystic Seaport on May 15. Additional information can be obtained from Harold Horris, G.W. Blunt White Library, Mystic Seaport Museum, Mystic, CT 06355.

NEHA News is interested in publicizing information on experimental teaching methods and innovative courses. Submit information to the Editor.
The Connecticut Coordinating Committee for the Promotion of History adopted a resolution on January 9, 1982 pertaining to recent decisions on the appointment of a new Unit Head of Archives, History and Genealogy, and the reorganization of the Department of Archives and Records Administration. The text of the resolution printed below has been sent to organizations and publications in New England that may have similar concerns.

RESOLUTION: "Whereas, the preservation of the documentary record of the past is vital to a free society; and, Whereas, the State archival program administered by the Connecticut State Library has been weakened by long-term neglect and recent budget cuts; and, Whereas, the Unit Head of Archives, History, and Genealogy, and the Public Records Administrator, crucial positions of authority and leadership in the preservation of historical records in this state; and, Whereas, positions of such importance require the highest levels of expertise and experience; therefore, Be it Resolved at its meeting on January 9, 1982 that the Connecticut Coordinating Committee for the Promotion of History urge the State Librarian to (1) continue to maintain the Department of Archives and Records Administration as one, integral, and functioning unit as required by law (General Statutes, sec 11-8); (2) appoint a person qualified by archival training and experience, following an open and national search, to the position of Unit Head of Archives, History and Genealogy; and (3) conduct an open, public and Ad Hoc search in filling the permanent position of Public Records Administrator."

The Bridgeport Public Library announces the accessioning of 46 linear feet of Bridgeport Mayor’s office files dating from 1926 to 1948. The files concern all aspects of municipal government in Connecticut’s largest city. The acquisition of the files follows the conclusion of a search of former office files in November by the city’s outgoing mayor.

The library’s Historical Collections has been serving as Bridgeport’s unofficial city archives for about eight years. Bridgeport, like the rest of the state’s localities, has no official city archives or archivist. The Historical Collections is a local history and genealogy department. It holds the records of some past mayors; the Common Council; some defunct city agencies such as the Bicentennial Committee and the Model Cities Agency; and the records of active departments such as Fire, Engineering, Tax Assessor, and Registrars of Voters. Under Connecticut statute, all state cities are on deposit in the library through the approval of the mayor and the State’s Public Records Administrator. The city records in the state library’s large archives and manuscripts holdings which total 250 linear feet. The controversy over the mayor’s files has made the public and the new mayor more aware of the importance of maintaining and preserving city records. The library hopes to be able to strengthen its program in city archives during the coming year as a result.

National History Day is a program that encourages young people to explore a historical subject related to a designated theme, TRADE AND INDUSTRY IN HISTORY is the theme selected for 1982. District winners attend a state-wide contest; winners from all participating states go to Washington, D.C. for the observance of National History Day from June 12-13, 1982. For information on National History Day in Connecticut contact John A. Leopold, Western Connecticut Community College, Danbury, CT 06810. For Information about the national program write: Dr. Lois Scharf, Executive Director, National History Day, 1900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44110.

Most historians disdain myths, believing that their job is to dispel error by showing how shorthand, mythical interpretations of the past fail to explain all the facts. Yet myth is more seductive to historians than to historians. Historians are seduced by a mythos based on a myth: the faith that facts speak for themselves, that infinite detail somehow organizes itself into meaningful patterns without the intervention of human intelligence, and that historical truth resides in faithful transcription of recorded words and deeds. Few if any historians doubt of the truth of these statements, yet they are disseminated by Ph. D. training programs, routinely perpetuates the writing of research monographs whose only point is to show that no one has quite managed to find a formula that could fully take into account newly noticed details. The trouble with this approach to truth is that it makes the world into a blackboard on which one must first describe every leaf, the task becomes gargantuan, self-defeating. Before it can be completed, the leaves will have fallen — long before the researcher is able to draw back far enough from detail even to glimpse the forest of which leaves are only part. Historical truth, in short, does not reside in exact recording of every detail. It never has. Instead, it resides in myth — generalizing myths that direct attention to what is common amid diversity by neglecting trivial differences of detail. Such myths make subsequent experience intelligible and can be acted on. When results conform to expectations, truth has been tested and the mythical formulation gains or retains plausibility. When experience contradicts expectation, it is time to mend the myth, if one can, to look for limiting conditions or overriding patterns that somehow distort its applicability. In this way, the "laws" of nature have been emended over the centuries most successfully. Human society is, however, more complicated than atoms and molecules, and efforts to make human conduct intelligible and predictable have never met with much success. Yet our social existence depends on shared values, symbols and meanings, precedents by hundreds, thousands, and millions of persons. Historians seek to make sense of such behavior. So do others: economists, lawyers, moralists, psychologists, socialists, journalists. To say that it cannot be done is not a real option, for if learned professionals decline to engage in the high calling of formulating useful myths, others will. The simple fact is that communities live by myths, of necessity. For only by acting as if the world made sense can society persist and individuals survive. In the short run, habit and custom take care of the problem of how to act. Ideas and ideological conflicts and historical values enter the picture, but in ordinary times, they reinforce habit and custom by explaining why behavior should conform to established norms and what happens when norms are, and are not, observed. In times of breakdown, however, new myths surge forward, proclaiming new ideals and finding (or inventing) new examples from the historical record to justify and reinforce modified patterns of behavior. Whether conservative or revolutionary, this is heady stuff. High passions and extremes of heroism and villainy command more attention than routine behavior. Yet it is also true that recognition of the fact that human experience within one or another enduring system of which humanity is a part may set limits upon our hopes and expectations. This can temper abstruse ideals and may even promote wisdom. Reading, writing, and teaching history contributes more than most other intellectual disciplines to the unending evolution of ideas and ideals whereby people seek to regulate their public conduct. Indeed, the principal reason for studying the past is that it promotes the formulation and reformulation of useful myths about the conduct of public affairs, creates and confirms public identities, and offers models of behavior for leaders and followers alike that help to guide us through present perplexities. Ever since the United States became a world power, our historians have dodged their myth-making responsibilities. Preoccupation with detail has saved them from thinking seriously about the world in which we, as 20th century humans, find ourselves. The American (and world) public badly needs new visions, new generations, new myths, global in scope, to help us navigate in our tightly interactive world. If historians fail to advance suitably bold hypotheses and interpretations, then politicians, journalists, and other public figures will continue as now, to use unexamined clichés to simplify the choices that must be made.
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